

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/628,831	07/28/2003	James Jannard	NOCODE2.005CP1 5897			
20995	7590 06/09/2005		EXAMINER			
	MARTENS OLSON &	DANG, HUNG XUAN				
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, CA	IRVINE, CA 92614			2873		
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/628,83		JANNARD ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Hung X. D	i	2873			
	he MAILING DATE of this communication			orrespondence address			
Period for R	• •						
THE MA - Extensior after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after the atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. , a reply within the statu period will apply and wi statute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133),			
Status							
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	12 May 2005.					
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□ Sir	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4)⊠ Cla	4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.						
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□ Cla	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>14-35</u> is/are rejected.						
·							
•	· · · — · · ·						
8)L_ Cla	aim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	equirement.				
Application	Papers						
9)□ The	e specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		,			
10)□ The	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)∟ I n€	e oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119		· ·				
12)∭ Ack a)∭ A 1.[2.[3.[Certified copies of the priority docu Certified copies of the priority docu Copies of the certified copies of the	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive	on No			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See	the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certi	ied copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)			
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 or P10/s (s)/Mail Date	อนเบอ)	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/628,831 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

1. The amendment filed on 6/5/05 has been considered.

Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 103

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-17 and 20-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Rickards** (6,012,812) in view of **McManigal** (5,327,178).

Rickards discloses industrial safety assembly comprises a support for supporting at least one lens in the path of a wearer's field of view' a first ear stem 21 attached to the support, for extending in a posterior direction along a first side of the wearer's head; a second ear stem 22 attached to the support, for extending in a posterior direction along a second side of the wearer's head; and at least one microphone 70 supported by at least one of the support, first ear stem 21, and second ear stem 22, the microphone 70 being arranged to face towards the head of a wearer of the eyeglass frame.

Rickards does not teach that the first and second mounting mechanisms are configured to allow the first and second speakers, respectively, to pivot about first and second predetermined pivot axes that are parallel to the first and second linear path.

McMangal however, discloses the first and second mounting mechanisms are configured to allow the first and second speakers, respectively, to pivot about first and

second predetermined pivot axes that are parallel to the first and second linear path (see figures 4, 5, 9, 11a and 11b.)

Because Rickards and McMangal are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose of selecting the positions of the speakers to suit the individual needs and comfort of the wearer as disclosed by McMangal would have been recognized as an art pertinent art of Rickards.

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the eyeglasses device, such as the one disclosed by Rickards, with the first and second mounting mechanisms are configured to allow the first and second speakers, respectively, to pivot about first and second predetermined pivot axes that are parallel to the first and second linear path, such as disclosed by McMangal for the purpose of selecting the positions of the speakers to suit the individual needs and comfort of the wearer.

Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 103

3. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Rickards** (6,012,812) and **McManigal** (5,327,178) as applied to claims 14-17 and 20-35 above, and further in view of **Vaudrey et al** (6,311,155).

Rickards and McManigal disclose the claimed invention as stated above with the exception of an MP3 storage device.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,831

Art Unit: 2873

However, Vaudrey et al discloses a personal listening device (PLD) attached to the eyeglasses (see column 8, lines 40-46) wherein the personal listening device (PLD) is a MP3 playback devices (see column 23, lines 1-21.)

Because Rickards, McManigal and Vaudrey et al are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose of storage the music as disclosed by Vaudrey et al would have been recognized as an art pertinent art of Rickards and McManigal.

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the eyeglasses frame, such as the one disclosed by Rickards and McManigal, with MP3 playback device, such as disclosed by Vaudrey et al for the purpose of storing and listening the music.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/628,831

Art Unit: 2873

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang at telephone number (571) 272-2326.

6/05

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

TC 2800